This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.:

10/601,379

Filing Date:

June 13, 2003

Applicant:

Carney, et al.

Entitled:

SUPPLIER SYNCHRONOUS SYSTEM AND METHOD

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1:8 (A)

Date of Deposit: Dec. 3

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Jody K. Ørince

Sir:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98

The following is submitted in accordance with 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05 (b). The following list of art is already of record and fully discussed in the parent case, Serial No. 09/632,683, filed August 7, 2000, and therefore, copies are not attached. The references and information are listed below and on attached forms PTO-1449 and PTO-892.

U.S. PATENTS

U.S. PATENT NUMBER	INVENTOR(S)
6,463,345 B1	Peachey-Kountz, et al.
6,415,195 B1	Gleditsch, et al.
6,345,259 B1	Sandoval
5,983,195	Fierro
5,974,395	Bellini, et al.
5,765,143	Sheldon, et al.
5,528,489	Asahara, et al.
5,463,555	Ward, et al.
5,278,750	Kaneko, et al.
4,958,292	Kaneko, et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

COUNTRY	PATENT NO.	INVENTOR(S)
NONE		

OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. None.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.

Applicants submit this statement in accordance with their duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

Applicants respectfully request that the documents cited herein be made of record in the normal manner and that such documents appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

Date: <u>/2/26/03</u>

Respectfully submitted


Jeffrey S. Standley

Registration No.: 34,021

Standley Law Group LLP

495 Metro Place South, Suite 210

Dublin, Ohio 43017-5315 Telephone: (614) 792-5555 Facsimile: (614) 792-5536



FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

W.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office

Atty. Docket No. HON 1448-013 Serial No 09/632,683

INFORMATION
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
BY APPLICANTS

Carney, et al.
Applicant

RECEIVED

11

APR 0 8 2002

August 7, 2000 Filing Date

Group Art Uniechnology Center 2100

Examiner's name

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class/Sub-
CB	5,850,446	12/98	Berger et al.	380/24
	5,923,552	7/99	Brown et al.	364/468.06
	5,983,194	11/99	Hogge et al.	705/7
V	6,125,391	9/2000	Meltzer et al.	709/223
			•	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Country/Name	Translation? yes/no
CB .	WO 00/29999	5/00	PC	n/a

OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. Trebuchon, Maurice A., Logistics Meets the Enterprise, Material Handling and

Engineering, Dec. 1998, v. 53, n. 13, pp; SCF6-SCF10.

- 2. Greene, James H., Production and Inventory Control Handbook, Third Edition, 3 April 1997, pp. 16.4, 18.34.
- 3. Frazier, Gregory V. et al., Applying Synchronous Manufacturing Concepts to Improve Production Performance in High-Tech Manufacturing, Production and Inventory Management Journal, 3rd Qtr. 000, v. 41, n. 3, pp. 60-65.
- 4. Plock, stew, The Internet, Java and the Auto Industry, Automotive Manufacturing and Production, January 1997, v. 109, n. 1, pp. 60-62.

Examiner // //	Date Considered . /~ /
1 11 81A 1/1 VIII h 2000 200 /	Date Considered 11/5/42
INVAR SAUFARITA	\perp 1/1/0 d

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.



FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

S.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office

Atty. Docket No. HON 1448-013 Serial No 09/632,683

INFORMATION
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
BY APPLICANTS

Carney, et al. RECEIVED

NOV 2 9 2000
August 7, 2000
Filing Date

Group Art UNIP 2100

Examiner's name

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Dațe	Name	Class/Sub-
CB	5,983,195	11/99	Fierro	705/10
7	5,974,395	10/99	Bellini, et al.	705/9
7	5,765,143	6/98	Sheldon, et al.	705/28
	5,528,489	6/96	Asahara, et al.	364/402
	5,278,750	1/94	Kaneko, et al.	364/401
V	4,958,292	9/90	Kaneko, et al.	364/468

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS-

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Country/Name	Translation? yes/no

OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. None.

Examiner	Chia	Buranan/	Date Considered 11/5/02

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.